Moho depth and crustal density structure in the Tibetan Plateau from gravity data modelling
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ABSTRACT

Although a large number of geophysical experiments have been performed in the Tibetan plateau and its surroundings, our knowledge and understanding of the uplift and deformation in the Tibetan Plateau caused by the India-Asia collision is still incomplete. Gravity method is inevitable and indispensable tool to investigate the evolution of the Tibetan Plateau due to the environmental complexity. In this study, images of the Moho depth and density disturbances in the Tibetan plateau are derived from the separated regional and local gravity anomalies, respectively. The results show that the Moho depth and density present distinct change from north to south in the central and western Tibetan Plateau, but both are relatively featureless in the eastern region. Moho depth sublinearly increases from the south to north and exceed 70 km at the Bangong-Nujiang suture, interpreted as the downward bending of the Tibetan crust due to the subductions of the Indian plate to the south and Asian plate to the north. The significant low density occurs in the middle and lower crust beneath Himalayas, suggesting the absence of the eclogites under the fast subducting effect of the cold Indian plate. In contrast, the high density may suggest the probable presence of eclogites underneath the Lhasa and Qiangtang terranes, where the mantle convection and thickened crust would provide environment of the high temperatures and pressures for eclogite formation. Low density presents underneath the Jinsha suture, indicating that the partial melting occurs due to the upwelling of asthenospheric material.

1. Introduction

The India-Asia collision began at ~50 Ma and resulted in the uplift of the Himalayas and the Tibetan Plateau (Molnar and Tapponnier, 1975; Yin and Harrison, 2000). With an average elevation of 4–5 km and ~70 km thick crust (Li et al., 2006; Li et al., 2014; Teng et al., 2013, 2020), the Tibetan Plateau is an unparalleled region for studying the mechanics of continental deformation. Four bordering sutures, i.e., the Indus-Yalu suture (IYS), the Bangong-Nujiang suture (BNS), the Jinshajiang suture (JS), and the Anyimaqen-Kunlun-Muttagh suture (AKMS), separate the Tibetan Plateau into the Himalaya Mountains (HM), the Lhasa terrane (LT), the Qiangtang terrane (QT), the Songpan-Ganzi terrane (ST), and the Kunlun-Qilian terrane (KT) (Yin and Harrison, 2000; Zhu et al., 2013). Over the last few decades, several geophysical and geodetic investigations have been conducted in geodynamics (Jin and Park, 2006; Jin et al. 2011, Tenzer et al., 2015) and abundant tectonic activities beneath the Tibetan Plateau have been studied (Bai et al., 2013; Bao et al., 2015; He et al., 2010; Hetényi et al., 2007; Huang and Zhao, 2006; Jiménez-Munt et al., 2008; Jin et al., 2010; Kumar et al., 2006; Li et al., 2008; Liang et al., 2016; Nábelek et al., 2009; Owens and Zandt, 1997; Teng et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2014; Zhao et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2020; Zheng et al., 2007). However, the long-standing question on the tectonic mechanism responsible for the crustal thickening and uplift in the Tibetan Plateau caused by the India-Asia collision is still debated, and several remarkable models have been proposed, including internal deformation (Houseman and England, 1986), block extrusion (Tapponnier et al., 1982), and lower crustal flow (Clark and Royden, 2000; Royden et al., 1997). Additionally, several arguments exist for the underthrusting distance of the Indian Plate, i.e., to the BNS (Tilmann et al., 2003), to the central QT (Owens and Zandt, 1997), and to north margin of the QT (Huang and Zhao, 2006; Zheng et al., 2007).

The Moho topography and density structure provide important clues for understanding the tectonic evolution of the Tibetan Plateau (Bai et al., 2013; Hetényi et al., 2007; Jiménez-Munt et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2014). Gravity modeling for investigations of the crustal structure...
has a distinct advantage in the inaccessible regions of the Tibetan Plateau. Although previous studies have reported the Moho undulation (Braイトenber et al., 2000; Shin et al., 2006, 2007; Xu et al., 2017; Zhao et al., 2020) and crustal density (Bai et al., 2013; Hetenyi et al., 2007; Jimenez-Munt et al., 2008) in the Tibetan Plateau, simultaneous investigations of the Moho and crustal density structure using inverse modeling are rare. In this paper, the gravity anomaly, removing the effects of sediments and upper mantle from Bouguer anomaly, is separated into regional and local anomalies. The regional anomaly is used to determine the Moho depth following Deng et al (2014), and the local anomaly is applied to investigate the crustal density disturbances. The interactions between the Tibetan Plateau and the adjacent tectonic blocks are investigated, as well as the responses of the tectonic blocks to the lateral variations beneath the central part of the Tibetan Plateau.

2. Data and analysis

The Bouguer gravity anomaly with a spatial resolution of 0.1 × 0.1 arc-degree used in this study (Fig. 2), was generated from the WGM2012 model (Bonvalot et al., 2012), which is available at BGI (Bureau Gravimétrique International) website (https://bgi.obs-mip.fr/). This dataset, including 1° × 1° resolution terrain corrections using ETOP01 model, is derived from the available Earth global gravity models EGM2008 and DTU10. The significant feature of the gravity anomaly is that the gradient belts are consistent with the major faults around the Tibetan and Pamir plateaus, i.e., the Main Boundary Thrust to the south, the Altyn Tagh fault to the north, and the Longmen Shan to the east. The positive anomaly with over 100 mGal covers the Indian Plate. The high negative anomaly is distributed across the Tibetan and Pamir plateaus. In particular, the −250 mGal contour is nearly consistent with the margin of the plateaus. In the Tian Shan region, the anomaly is approximately −200 mGal. Outward from the plateaus, to the east and north, the anomaly tends to −100 mGal, especially in the Sichuan and Tarim basins.

The densities of sediments in the Bay of Bengal, Ganges, Tarim and Sichuan basins (Fig. 3a). Fig. 3b shows an anomaly of approximate −80 mGal in the Bay of Bengal, about −30 mGal in the Ganges basin, and exceeding −40 mGal in the Tarim and Sichuan basins.

2.2. Gravity effects from upper mantle

The relation between global shear-wave velocity (Vs) and the density anomaly, (Δρ), can be given as (Levandowski et al., 2015; Deng et al., 2017):

\[ ΔV_s = 100 \times \frac{(V_s - V_s)}{V_s}; \]  

(1a)

\[ Δρ = ΔV_s \left(7.3 - \frac{V_s}{100} + \frac{ΔV_s}{4}\right); \quad ΔV_s ≤ 6\% \]

(1b)

\[ Δρ = ΔV_s \left(8.8 - \frac{V_s}{100} - \frac{7(ΔV_s - 6)}{40}\right); \quad ΔV_s > 6\% \]

(1c)

\[ Δρ = 0, \quad ΔV_s ≤ 0\% \]

(1d)

where, ΔV_s is the anomaly (in %) relative to V_s.

The Vs structure from Kustowski et al. (2008a, 2008b) was used here for deriving the density anomaly (Δρ) from 50 to 200 km (Fig. 3). The V_s less than 4.5 km/s dominates depth range of 50–100 km underneath the Tibetan Plateau (Fig. 3a and 3b). The V_s around 4.7 km/s presents in the depth range of 125–200 km in the Tibetan Plateau and Tian Shan region (Fig. 3d and 3e).

The density anomalies in the depth range of 50–200 km (Fig. 4a-e) were derived from the Vs structure based on Eq.(1) and assumed V_0 to be 4.5 km/s (Sato et al., 1989). Fig. 4f showed the gravity anomaly computed from the density anomaly. The gravity anomaly more than

![Fig. 1. Tectonic setting of the Tibetan Plateau overlying the topographic map. The red lines represent the major faults. The white dotted lines represent the sutures. HM, Himalaya Mountains; LT, Lhasa Terrane; QT, Qiangtang Terrane; ST, Songpan-Ganzi Terrane; KT, Kunlun Terrane; IYS, Indus-Yalu suture; BNS, Bangong-Nujiang suture; JS, Jinshajiang suture; AMKS, Anyimagun-Kunlun-Muztagh suture. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)](image-url)
100 mGal distributed in the Indian Plate, southern Tibetan Plateau, Tarim and Sichuan basins, especially in the southwestern Tibetan Plateau, the anomaly exceeded 200 mGal.

2.3. Gravity anomaly analysis

To determine the Moho depth and crustal density structure, we derived the corrected Bouguer gravity anomaly (CBGA) (Fig. 6a) after removing the gravity effects from the sediments and upper mantle (Fig. 3b and 5f) from the Bouguer gravity anomaly (Fig. 2). The significant feature of the CBGA is the gradient belts are consistent with the major faults around the Tibetan and Pamir plateaus, i.e., the Main Boundary Thrust to the south, the Altyn Tagh fault to the north, and the Longmen Shan to the east. The high negative anomaly distributes in the Tibetan and Pamir plateaus. In particular, the 250 mGal contour is nearly consistent with the margin of the plateaus. In the Tian Shan region, the anomaly is approximately 200 mGal. Outward from the plateaus, to the east and north, the anomaly tends to 0 mGal, especially in the Indian plate, Sichuan and Tarim basins.

The gravity anomaly is generally separated into regional and local anomalies before interpreting the data. We used the discrete wavelet transform method to further investigate the features of the CBGA. After testing wavelet decomposition with different orders, we present the spectral analysis of the fourth-order wavelet approximation (Fig. 7). The average source depth of 42.3 km, which agrees with the average continental crustal thickness, estimated by the relation between the wavenumber and logarithmic power spectral density. Thus, the fourth-order wavelet approximation can be considered as the regional anomaly for determining Moho depth. In turn, the local anomaly was assessed after removing the regional anomaly from the CBGA.

The regional anomaly (Fig. 6b) represents the gravity information with a wavelength greater than 90 km according to the investigation for the relation between the wavelength of the gravity anomaly and the wavelet orders (Yang et al., 2001). The regional map more clearly displays the gradient belts with sharp variations around the Tibetan and Pamir plateaus. In particular, the ∼250 mGal contour is nearly consistent with the margin of the plateaus. In the Tian Shan region, the anomaly is approximately −200 mGal. Outward from the plateaus, to the east and north, the anomaly tends to 0 mGal, especially in the Indian plate, Sichuan and Tarim basins.
extreme gravity high of greater than ~500 mGal distributes in the LT and QT along the BNS.

The map of the local anomaly (Fig. 6c) shows that positive and negative anomalies alternately occur from the Main Boundary Thrust to the Altyn Tagh fault. In the Pamir region, the northward arc with the alternate positive and negative anomalies is the major feature. Similarly, there is a northeastward bend with positive and negative anomalies on the southeastern margin of the Tibetan Plateau. The above two areas are located at the western and eastern ends of the Himalayas, i.e., the Western Himalayan Syntaxis and the Eastern Himalayan Syntaxis. Additionally, the negative anomalies cover the Tian Shan and Longmen Shan regions.

3. Methods

3.1. Moho inversion

The Parker-Oldenburg’s algorithm (Parker, 1973; Oldenburg, 1974) was used for the Moho inversion in this study. Following Parker (1973), Oldenburg (1974) derived an iterative expression in the wave-number domain for the Moho relief $h(x,y)$ around the reference depth $z_0$,

$$F[h(x,y)] = -\frac{F[\Delta g(x,y)] [(-k)^m]}{2\pi G\Delta \rho} - \sum_{k=2}^{m} \frac{k^{m-1}}{n!} F[h'(x,y)]$$

where $\Delta g(x,y)$ is the gravity anomaly, $F[]$ is the Fourier transform; $k$ is the wavenumber of the transformed function, and $\Delta \rho$ is the density contrast between the crust and the upper mantle. The details have been presented in previous studies (e.g. Gómez-Ortiz and Agarwal, 2005; Shin et al., 2006).

3.2. Crustal density inversion

In this study, the density anomaly is determined from the local gravity anomaly shown in Fig. 6c. The underground domain was divided into the fixed geometry of prisms, the densities of which are the undetermined parameters. The relationship between the gravity anomaly $G$ and the density distribution $V$ is

$$G = AV + E$$

where $A$ is the kernel matrix, and $E$ is the noise associated with the gravity data. The principle of compact gravity inversion (Barbosa and...
Silva, 1994; Last and Kubik, 1983) is to minimize the function of the density and noise as follows:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{M} w_{vj} v_{j}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{ei} e_{i}^2 \rightarrow \text{min}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

subject to Eq. (3). \(w_{vj}\) and \(w_{ei}\) are the weighting functions of the densities and gravity data, respectively. Following the method of Last and Kubik (1983), the solution of Eq.(3) can be expressed as:

$$V = V_0 + W_d W_v^{-1} A^T W_v^{-1} A W_v^{-1} + W_e^{-1} G$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where \(W_v\) and \(W_e\) are composed of \(w_{vj}\) and \(w_{ei}\), respectively. (Last and Kubik, 1983) defined \(W_v^{-1} = \zeta_0^2 \text{diag}(A W_v^{-1} A^T)\), where \(\zeta_0\) is the priori estimated noise/signal ratio. The solution can be obtained directly from Eq. (5) for any initial model \(V_0\). In this study, the iteration started with \(W_v = I\) and \(V_0 = 0\). The details of this method have been presented in previous articles (Barbosa and Silva, 1994; Last and Kubik, 1983).

It is known that the kernel matrix \(A\) decays with the inverse squared depth. To overcome the high sensitivity of the prisms near the surface, a depth-weighting matrix \(W_d\) is required (Boulanger and Chouteau, 2001; Chasseriau and Chouteau, 2003; Li and Oldenburg, 1998). We used \((W_d)_{ii} = 1/(\zeta_0 + i)^\beta\) and \(\beta = 0.85\), which is consistent with the value of 0.85 used by Boulanger and Chouteau (2001) and is close to the value of 0.9 used by Chasseriau and Chouteau (2003). Then, Eq.(5) can be modified as follows:

$$V = V_0 + W_d W_v^{-1} A^T (AW_v^{-1} A W_v^{-1} A^T + W_e^{-1})^{-1} G$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

This method was applied to determine the crustal density change before the 2016 Menyuan earthquake. The numerical test for the availability is available in the supplementary file of Xuan et al. (2019).

4. Results and analysis

4.1. Moho topography

The parameters reference depth and density contrast should be known before deriving \(h(x, y)\) in Eq. (2). Regarding the above group, the values are not really constants. The spectral analysis shown in Fig. 7 suggests 42.3 km can be considered as the reference depth. The Moho depth with varying reference depths of 30–60 km and density contrasts...
of 300–600 kg/m$^3$ was computed, and then, the results were compared to that of Li et al. (2014) for determining the density contrast. Fig. 8 shows that the minimum of the root-mean-square (RMS) is 4.4 km with a reference level of 41 km and a density contrast of 530 kg/m$^3$ (red star in Fig. 8). When the reference depth of 42.3 km determined above is applied, the RMS is 4.5 km (red circle in Fig. 8). Therefore, the density contrast of 530 kg/m$^3$ is also suitable for inversion. To weaken the boundary effect, the inversion area was set as 60$^\circ$ E–120$^\circ$ E and 10$^\circ$ N–55$^\circ$ N, which is 10 degrees wider on each side than the study area (70$^\circ$ E–110$^\circ$ E and 20$^\circ$ N–45$^\circ$ N). After three iterations, the RMS correction of the Moho depth with respect to the previous iteration was only 19.8 m. The Moho depth and its gravity effect are shown in Fig. 8.

The inverted Moho depth (Fig. 9a) deeper than 50 km covers the Tibetan and Pamir plateaus, while the maximum depth of 75.1 km occurs beneath the BNS in the central Tibetan Plateau, corresponding to
The Moho is deeper than 70 km in the western LT and QT; however, it is ~60 km throughout the eastern Tibetan Plateau. Along the Indian subduction zone, the Moho depth exhibits a narrow gradient belt and increases linearly from 40 km to 70 km with an angle of about ~8.5°, and the relatively wider gradient belt is consistent with the smaller angle underneath the western and eastern ends of the Himalaya Mountains. The Moho depths of the tectonic units around Tibetan Plateau are not the...
consistent with that of the plateau, i.e., a depth of ~40 km under the Indian Plate, ~50 km beneath the Tarim Basin, and about 40 km beneath the Sichuan Basin. The Pamir region, northwest of the Tibetan Plateau, has a deeper Moho with a maximum depth of 60 km; and the Tian Shan region, north of the Tarim Basin, also has a deeper Moho depth of 50 km. It should also be noted that a Moho uplift of ~5 km occurs under the central part of the Tarim Basin.

Some features of our result are different from previous gravity-derived Moho depth (Braitenberg et al., 2000; Shin et al., 2007; Xu et al., 2017; Zhao et al., 2020). For comparison, the Moho from CRUST1.0 (Laske et al., 2013), the seismic Moho (Li et al., 2014) and the recent gravity-derived Moho (Zhao et al., 2020), as well as the differences are presented in Fig. 10. Moho depth from CRUST1.0 (Fig. 10a) shows the deepest Moho (~70 km) is observed in the middle LT, and the shallower Moho (50–60 km) in the northwestern Tibetan Plateau and Pamir region. Fig. 10b shows our Moho depth is deeper than that of CRUST1.0 (greater than 10 km) in the northwestern Tibet, eastern Tarim basin and Pamir region. The seismic Moho depth shown in Fig. 10c presents deepest Moho exceeding 80 km is found in the eastern Tibetan Plateau. However, the depth difference from our results (Fig. 10d) is less than 5 km in the study region, except some local area. As shown Fig. 10e, the deepest Moho is observed in the Pamir region reported by Zhao et al. (2020). Our Moho depth deeper than that of Zhao et al. (2020) is found in the eastern Tarim basin, LT and QT along the BNS in western Tibet, as well as the western HM. It is worth noting that the three deep belts in the Tibetan Plateau reported by Shin et al. (2007) and Zhao et al. (2020) were not found from Li et al. (2014) and this study. Additionally, this feature is also not reported in the seismic studies (e.g. Li et al., 2006; Li et al., 2014; Teng et al., 2020). We found that our gravity-inverted Moho depth (Fig. 9a) has good agreement with that of Li et al. (2014) shown in Fig. 10c.

4.2. Density structure

We modeled the crustal density structure using the residual gravity anomaly based on the method described in Section 3.2. The regional anomaly (Fig. 6b) not only includes the information for the Moho undulation but also for the mean density anomaly of the layered crust. The subsurface region from surface to 100 km was divided into 10 layers with a 10 km interval. After 10 iterations, the layered density structure was shown in Fig. 10. The residuals have an average of 0.05 mGal and a standard deviation of 0.77 mGal (Fig. 12), suggesting that the density estimation is reliable.

Our density structure exhibits a distinct lateral variation in the Tibetan crust (Fig. 11). From the 20–30 km layer to the 40–50 km layer (Fig. 11c–e), there is a low-density belt in the Himalaya Mountains between ~80°E and ~92°E, which corresponds to the anomalous body with low-velocity (Monsalve et al., 2008; He et al., 2010; Basuyau et al., 2013) and low-density (Basuyau et al., 2013). However, Tiwari et al. (2006) argued that the lower crust presents high density inferred from the gravity modelling. In the 50–60 km layer and the 60–70 km layer (Fig. 11f–g), the local low-density is also significant in the eastern segment of the above-described belt. Nevertheless, the high-density anomalies are present in the 10–20 km layer along the Main Boundary Thrust (Fig. 11b), and alternate low- and high-density anomalies are presented in the 20–40 km layer (Fig. 11c and d). In the western LT and
QT, especially along the BNS, high-density anomalies are present in the 20–70 km layer (Fig. 11b–g). The main features of the density structures in above two belts are almost consistent with the densities from the joint inversion of the seismic and gravity data (Basuyau et al., 2013) and the velocities from the P-wave tomography (Zheng et al., 2007). Beneath the western ST and KT, on the northwestern part of the Tibetan Plateau, the low density anomalies dominate the entire crust, which is consistent with the argument of He et al. (2014) and the widespread low velocity anomaly in this region (Huang and Zhao, 2006; Zheng et al., 2007; Li et al., 2013; Liang et al., 2016).

In the Pamir region, a northward bending arc with a high density is present in the 10–20 km and 20–30 km layers on the north margin of the plateau. In contrast, a low-density anomaly appears in the plateau south of the high-density anomaly (Fig. 11b and c). Another significant feature is that the low-density anomaly is located at the junction of the Altyn Tagh and Karakoram faults from 20 to 60 km (Fig. 11c–f), where low resistivity values have been observed (Jin et al., 2010). On the southeastern margin of the Tibetan Plateau, the two belts with low- and high:densities, in the 10–70 km layer and especially in the 20–50 km layer (Fig. 11c–e), are subparallel to each other forming a northeastward bulge. The high-density belt is approximately distributed along the IYS, while a narrow low-density belt is located along the BNS. This low-density belt is consistent with the low-resistivity (Bai et al., 2010) and the low-velocity anomaly (Li et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2016).

In the vertical section view of the N-S oriented section across the Tibetan Plateau (Fig. 13a), the main feature is the alternating low- and
The Moho depth increases linearly northward between the Main Boundary to the south and 30°N to the north, suggesting that the rigid Indian Plate thrusts downward underneath the Tibetan Plateau, although the dip angle of about 8.5° along sections A and B (Fig. 7a and b) is less than the 10° dip angle obtained from the surface wave tomography (Zhang et al., 2014) and the 16.7° dip angle obtained from the gravity modeling (Bai et al., 2013). If there is underlying thin lithospheric mantle as the isostatic analysis, the Indian crust should be injected into the Tibetan lower crust (Owens and Zandt, 1997; Zhao and Morgan, 1984). The deepest Moho observed under the BNS suggests the Tibetan crust is underthrust resulted from the northward subducting Indian lithosphere and the southward subducting Asian lithosphere (Zhao et al., 2011).

Based on the views of previous studies and our results, we constructed the following possible scenario. The Indian crust has been injected into the Tibetan Plateau with small angle and has thickened the Tibetan crust, whereas the Indian lithospheric mantle is subducting under the Asian lithosphere. The dense lithosphere sinks at the subduction zone due to its negative buoyancy (Chen et al., 2020; Stern, 2007), which allows for the delamination of the subducting lithospheric mantle from the crust, causing downwelling. The suction induced by the subducting slab principally results in mantle convection on both sides of the slab (Conrad and Lithgow-Bertelloni, 2004). If the downwelling of the Indian lithospheric mantle with large angle occurs beneath the HM, the thin lithospheric mantle caused by the upwelling of the asthenosphere will occur to the north of the subducting lithospheric mantle, which is in agreement with the low-density anomaly reported by the seismic studies (Liang et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2014). It is likely that the deepest Moho appears underneath the BNS (Fig. 6), which suggests that the Indian lithosphere extends to nearly the BNS and moves sharply downward, that is, ~32°N as mentioned by Tilmann et al. (2003).

The low-density anomaly beneath the IYS (Figs. 12 and 13) is due to crustal shortening and partial melting caused by the India-Eurasia collision (Tilmann et al., 2003). Seismic studies have revealed a low-velocity anomaly in this region (Tilmann et al., 2003; Zheng et al., 2007; Monsalve et al., 2008; He et al., 2010; Basu et al., 2013; Liang et al., 2016). Additionally, this also provides evidence for absence of eclogites in the lower crust beneath the HM, which is in agreement with the results Bai et al. (2013) obtained from gravity modelling. The eclogite would not be formed in the conditions of a relatively cold plate underthrusting at a fast rate (Henry et al., 1997).

The low-density anomaly in the lower-crust and upper-mantle underneath the JS in section A (Fig. 13a) corresponded to the widely exhibiting low-velocity anomalies (Huang and Zhao, 2006; Zheng et al., 2007; Li et al., 2013; Liang et al., 2016). The low-density anomaly may be related to the ultrapotassic volcanics (He et al., 2014). However, this
feature is absent in section B (Fig. 13b). Section B nearly overlaps with the eastern margin of the metasomatized lid found in the seismic study of (Zhang et al., 2014), suggesting that the upwelling of the asthenosphere extends to different distances (Liang et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2014). The upwelling of the asthenosphere can be suggested by the Moho map (Fig. 9a). The upwelling asthenosphere in this region is possibly due to the asthenospheric convection caused by the downwelling of the subducting Indian Plate (Conrad and Lithgow-Bertelloni 2004).

On the southeastern margin of the Tibetan Plateau, the en echelon pattern of the low- and high-density anomalies (Fig. 11b–e) corresponds to the western channel of lower crustal flow inferred from the magnetotelluric images (Bai et al., 2010) and the seismic images (Li et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2016). Xuan et al. (2016) argued that the folded crust existed in this region resulting from the combination of the southeastward extrusion of the Tibetan Plateau and the subducting Indian Plate.

6. Conclusions

In this study, we determined the Moho depth and crustal density structure of the Tibetan Plateau and its surroundings using inverse modelling of the gravity data. After correcting the gravity effects from sediments and upper mantle, the separated regional and local anomalies were arranged to invert the Moho undulation and density perturbation, respectively.

The main crustal features were imaged, such as the sublinearity of the Moho increasing underneath the southern part of the Tibetan Plateau, the lateral variations in the density in the central and western parts of the Tibetan Plateau, and the probable presence and absence of eclogites beneath the Himalaya, Lhasa, and Qiangtang terranes. To accommodate the convergence of the subducting Indian and Asian lithosphere, the Tibetan crust beneath BNS presents underthrust (Zhao et al., 2011) and Moho depth exceeds 70 km. The increasing Moho depth from south to north is probably due to the negative buoyancy of the underthrusting Indian Plate, which would induce the local mantle convection under the southern and northern parts of the underthrusting plate (Tilmann et al., 2003). The upwelling asthenospheric materials are considered to be the main reason for the thin lithosphere underlying the Himalayas and the low-density anomaly underneath the northern part of the Tibetan Plateau.
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