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Abstract—Water vapor plays the key role in the global hydrologic cycle and climate change. However, the distribution and variability of water vapor in the troposphere is not understood well in the globe, particularly the high-resolution variation. In this paper, 13-year 2-h precipitable water vapors (PWV) are derived from globally distributed 155 Global Positioning System sites observations and global three-hourly surface weather data and six-hourly National Centers for Environmental Prediction/National Center for Atmospheric Research reanalysis products, which are the first used to investigate multiscale water-vapor variability on a global scale. It has been found that the distinct seasonal cycles are in summer with a maximum water vapor and in winter with a minimum water vapor. The higher amplitudes of annual PWV variations are located in midlatitudes with about 10–20 ± 0.5 mm, and the lower amplitudes are found in high latitudes and equatorial areas with about 5 ± 0.5 mm. The larger differences of mean PWV between in summer and winter are located in midlatitudes with about 10–30 mm, particularly in the Northern Hemisphere. The semiannual variation amplitudes are relatively weaker with about 0.5 ± 0.2 mm. In addition, significant diurnal variations of PWV are found over most International Global Navigation Satellite Systems Service stations. The diurnal (24 h) cycle has amplitude of 0.2–1.2 ± 0.1 mm, and the peak time is from the noon to midnight. The semidiurnal (12 h) cycle is weaker, with amplitude of less than 0.3 mm.

Index Terms—Climatology, Global Positioning System (GPS), variability, water vapor.

I. INTRODUCTION

WATER vapor plays a central role in atmospheric radiation, energy balance, and thermodynamics, and the hydrological cycle and is the most abundant greenhouse gas. The water-vapor storage in the column of the atmosphere in terms of water budget is the precipitable water vapor (PWV) as a result of the balance between precipitation, evaporation, and convergence of humidity [1]. It is an important indicator of water-vapor climatology and variability in the lower troposphere and related climate processes. PWV concentrations vary with high degree of spatial and temporal variability, depending upon the season, topography and other local and regional climatic conditions. Therefore, it is vital to measure PWV and understand its variability, but such advancements were restricted until balloon-borne radiosonde data [1], [2], and satellite observations from either infrared sounders or microwave radiometers [4] became available. Although in the past time many people investigated water-vapor climatology with radiosonde and satellite observations, unfortunately, such observations are still limited in water-vapor climate studies, particularly the high-resolution diurnal variations due to the poor quality radiosonde and a lack of high spatial-temporal resolution observations [5], [6]. For example, the Special Sensor Microwave/Imager (SSM/I) radiometers on the Defense Meteorological Satellite Program Block 5D-2F8 spacecraft can provide the precipitation water vapor over the globe. However, SSM/I covers the whole globe in two days with some gaps in data [7].

Nowadays, the Global Positioning System (GPS) has been widely developed as an all-weather, high spatial-temporal resolution and low-cost remote-sensing system of the atmosphere [8]–[13], as compared to conventional techniques such as satellite radiometer sounding, microwave radiometer, and radiosondes [14]. With independent data from other instruments, in particular water-vapor radiometers, it has been demonstrated that the water vapor can be retrieved using ground-based GPS observations at the same level of accuracy as radiosondes and microwave radiometers [15]–[20]. Therefore, the GPS-derived water vapor has been widely applied in meteorology and climatology, e.g., numeric weather prediction model improvement [21], [22] and studying diurnal variations of PWV [23], [24]. Particularly, the expanding permanent International Global Navigation Satellite Systems Service (IGS) GPS network provides an important data source to study water-vapor climatology and variability on a global scale. However, the current water vapor cannot be directly derived from global IGS GPS network observations without colocated meteorological measurements. Even when available, colocated surface meteorological data can be very noisy and cannot be used without careful examination and quality control. Recently, the PWV over GPS sites without colocated meteorological observations can be retrieved using the interpolated site specific surface meteorological parameters from the European Centre for medium range weather forecast (ECMWF) or the National Centers for Environmental Prediction/National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCEP/NCAR) global reanalysis products. For example, Schueler et al. [25] has derived the integrated water vapor using the interpolated site specific surface meteorological parameters from the numerical weather fields of NCEP Global Data Assimilation System 1° × 1° grid. Wang et al. [26] have developed the analysis technique to obtain water vapor from the IGS tropospheric
delay products using different available meteorological data sets with interpolation techniques. The aforementioned studies demonstrated the feasibility of ground-based GPS network and interpolated meteorological data for water-vapor estimation. In this paper, the 13-year PWV data on a global scale derived from the currently existing global IGS GPS network measurements for 1994–2007 and numerical weather model’s reanalysis products are the first used to investigate the annual and diurnal variability of water vapor on a global scale. In the following section, the procedure of 13-year PWV retrieval is briefly described. Water-vapor variability and climatology on a global scale are given in Section III as well as conclusions in the final section.

II. PWV DATA
A. PWV From IGS-Based GPS Measurements
The IGS was formally established in 1993 by the International Association of Geodesy, and began routine operations on January 1, 1994 [27]. The IGS has operated a worldwide network of permanent tracking stations with more than 350 GPS sites, and each equipped with a GPS receiver, providing raw GPS orbit and tracking data as a data format called Receiver Independent Exchange. All available near-real-time global IGS observation data are transmitted to Global IGS Data Centers (e.g., the fourth GDC at the Korea Astronomy and Space Science Institute (http://gdc.kasi.re.kr)). Since 1998, the IGS regularly generates a combined tropospheric product in the form of weekly files containing the zenith tropospheric delay (ZTD) at a 2-h time interval from the IGS tracking stations (ftp://cddis.gsfc.nasa.gov/gps/products/trop_new). However, the ZTD products before 1998 are not available, and moreover, Humphreys et al. [28] demonstrated a drastically attenuated oscillation in the IGS-provided ZTD products between during 1997–2000 and 2000–2004, which was probably caused by the computed ZTD algorithm and network evolution. This paper selects the globally distributed 155 IGS sites with better and continuous observations spanning at least five years (Fig. 1), and most sites observations are from 1997 to 2007 (ftp://nfs.kasi.re.kr). The GPS observation data suffering earthquake or monument/antenna changes are removed after checking GPS sites logs. The 13-year ZTD parameters at a temporal resolution of 2 h are obtained from continuous IGS GPS network observations (1994–2007) using GAMIT software [29] and the newly recommended strategies [13], [30], [31]. The IGS final orbits, International Earth rotation and Reference systems Service earth orientation parameters, azimuth- and elevation-dependent antenna phase center models as recommended by the IGS were used in the data processing. The mean uncertainty of ZTD is about 1.8 mm. Comparing with IGS-provided combined ZTD from 1997–2007, our ZTD data are almost consistent with a mean root mean square (rms) of about 1.5 mm.

The ZTD is the integrated refractivity along a vertical path through the neutral atmosphere

\[
ZTD = c \tau = 10^{-6} \int_0^\infty N(s) ds
\]

where \(c\) is the speed of light in a vacuum, \(\tau\) is the delay measured in units of time, and \(N\) is the neutral atmospheric refractivity. The refractivity \(N\) is empirically related to standard meteorological variables as [31]

\[
N = k_1 \rho + k_2 \frac{P_w}{Z_w T} + k_3 \frac{P_w}{Z_w T^2}
\]

where \(k_i (i = 1, 2, 3)\) are the constant, \(\rho\) is the total mass density of the atmosphere, \(P_w\) is the partial pressure of water vapor, \(Z_w\) is a compressibility factor close to unity accounting for the small departures of moist air from an ideal gas, and \(T\) is the temperature in degree kelvin. The integral of the first term of (2) is the hydrostatic component \((N_h)\) and the integral of the remaining two terms is the wet component \((N_w)\). Thus, ZTD is the sum of the hydrostatic or dry delay \((ZHD)\) and nonhydrostatic or wet delay \((ZWD)\), due to the effects of dry gases and water vapor, respectively. The ZHD is related to the atmospheric pressure at the surface, i.e.,

\[
ZHD = 2.2779 \times 10^3 \frac{P_s}{f(\lambda, H)}
\]

where \(P_s\) is the total surface pressure in millibars and \(f(\lambda, H)\) is a factor for correcting the local gravity as \(f(\lambda, H) = 1 - 0.00266 \cos(2\lambda) - 0.28H\), where \(\lambda\) is the latitude and \(H\) is the height above the ellipsoid in meters. The wet component ZWD can be obtained by subtracting ZHD from ZTD, which can be transformed into the PWV via the following function [8]:

\[
PWV = \prod ZWD
\]

where \(\prod = (10^{-6} \rho R_w (k_3/T_m) + k_2^2)^{-1}\), \(\rho\) is the density of liquid water, \(R_w\) is the specific gas constant for water vapor, \(T_m\) is a weighted mean temperature of the atmosphere, \(k_2^2 = k_2^2 - m k_1\) and \(m = M_w/M_d\), the ratio of the molar masses of water vapor and dry air [32]. Therefore, if the pressure and temperate data are available, the water vapor can be derived from GPS-derived ZTD.

Due to the absence of local surface temperature and pressure data, the surface synoptic observations and numerical weather models’ reanalysis products are used to calculate the \(P_s\) and \(T_m\). The \(P_s\) is interpolated from global 3-h surface synoptic observations of all land and ocean surface weather stations with more than 15,000 including in...
the Global Telecommunication System and marine reports from the Comprehensive Ocean-Atmosphere Data Set [33] (http://dss.ucar.edu/datasets/ds464.0). The $T_m$ should be the best estimated from the six-hourly reanalysis products ERA-40 (ECMWF 40-year reanalysis), but currently ERA-40 data are only available from 1948 to 2002, and the data after 2002 are not available. While the NCEP/NCAR reanalysis products are available from 1948 to present, and furthermore, the interpolated $T_m$ results are almost similar with a small difference between ERA-40 and NCEP/NCAR reanalysis [26]. Therefore, in this paper the 2-h $T_m$ is estimated from the six-hourly NCEP/NCAR reanalysis products (ftp://ftp.cdc.noaa.gov/Datasets/ncep.reanalysis) with an interpolation technique [26]. Thus, 2-h PWV time series for 1994–2007 over all global IGS sites can be derived from GPS-ZTD, surface synoptic observation-estimated $P_s$ and NCEP/NCAR reanalysis-estimated $T_m$ with errors of about 1.0–1.5 mm due to errors in ZTD, $P_s$ and $T_m$. Moreover, our GPS-derived PWV data are consistent with PWV estimates from IGS-provided combined ZTD (1997–2007) with an rms of less 0.2 mm. For example, Fig. 2 shows the PWV time series at ALGO station (Canada).

B. Comparison With Colocated Independent Observations

The IGS GPS-derived PWV data are compared with another colocated independent technique—Very Long Baseline Interferometry (VLBI). Here, VLBI-PWV was derived from colocated meteorological observations data. Behrend et al. [34] analyzed about two weeks of data during December 1996 at Madrid (Spain) and found the ZTD differences between VLBI and GPS were smaller than 1 cm. Snajdrova et al. [35] analyzed 15 continuous days of VLBI data during the Continuous VLBI 2002 (CONT02) campaign and found that the ZTD from VLBI and GPS were in good agreement at the 3–10 mm level as well as with the Doppler Orbitography Radio positioning Integrated by Satellite (WVR). Niell et al. [36] compared the results of a two-week VLBI campaign in August 1995 (CONT95) at Westford (USA) with GPS, WVR and radiosondes and found the VLBI technique was the most accurate for the determination of ZTD. These show that the VLBI can obtain a high accuracy reliable ZTD estimation. The Analysis Centers (Acs) of the International VLBI Service (IVS) process all available VLBI observation data and corresponding products (e.g., ZTD) are transferred to the IGG AC (Institute of Geodesy and Geophysics, Vienna University of Technology, Austria), for combination. The combined ZTD time series are available from IVS Data Centers (ftp://cddis.gsfc.nasa.gov/vlbi/ivsproducts/trop). Fig. 3(a) is comparison of three-year GPS and VLBI PWV data (2004–2007) at colocated station NYN1 (Norway). In total, the GPS-derived PWV agrees well with the colocated VLBI observations with an rms of less than 1.1 mm. Moreover, the GPS-derived PWV data are almost consistent with the radiosonde at NY A1 (Norway) with an rms less than 0.8 mm [Fig. 3(b)].

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

PWV is a key parameter of the atmosphere and varies with a high degree of spatial and temporal variability, depending upon the season, topography and other local and regional climatic conditions. The global high spatial-temporal resolution IGS observations provide an important water-vapor source to describe PWV distribution features and to understand its variability and change. The mean PWV at each IGS site was calculated from the 2-h PWV time series for whole 13 years, and their distributions are shown in Fig. 4. The mean water vapor is ranging from 5.0 to 60.0 ± 1.2 mm. The water vapor has a significant latitudinal distribution feature on a global scale. The larger water-vapor concentrations are located in low latitudes, particularly in tropical areas with about 30–60 mm and, generally, decrease to the high latitude with less than 15 mm north of 60° N and south of 60° S. Water-vapor contents
over high elevations are generally lower (Fig. 5), decreasing with the increase of the elevation, which may be caused by a colder atmosphere over the high-elevation areas (Fig. 6). Fig. 7 shows a comparison of mean PWV and mean temperatures at IGS sites, reflecting that the distributions of atmospheric water vapors are mainly related to the temperature. In addition, the denser PWV distributions show a regionally distributed feature. For example, the high PWV in Southeast Asia–North Australia may reflect the influence of the Asian and west Pacific monsoons [37]. Higher PWV in southeast of the Tibet are due to water-vapor transportation from the Bay of Bengal and adjacent areas [38]. At the same latitude, the water vapor in western China is lower than in eastern China, which may be caused by a colder atmosphere over the high, snow-covered surface over the western areas, i.e., Tibet [39]. Therefore, the distribution of atmospheric water vapor in the globe is mainly dominated by the latitude, topographical features, and climatic conditions.

We analyzed the PWV time series at all IGS GPS stations with fast Fourier transform and found that the most marked periods of all GPS stations’ PWV time series are about 1 year and 0.5 year in the low frequent variation, and 1 day and 0.5 day in the high frequent variation. In order to further investigate the water-vapor climatology and variability at annual and diurnal scales, the PWV time series are analyzed by a harmonic function with annual, semiannual, diurnal, and semidiurnal components as follows:

\[
P W V_t = a + \sum_{k=1}^{4} \left[ c_k \sin \left(2\pi(t-t_0)/p_k + \varphi_k\right) \right] + \varepsilon_t
\]

where \( a \) is the constant, \( c_k \) and \( \varphi_k \) are the amplitude and phase at period \( p_k \) (=1 year, 0.5 year, 1 day, and 0.5 days), respectively, and \( \varepsilon \) is the residual. Through the method of least squares we can determine the unknown parameters in (5) with the original 13-year PWV time series at 2-h interval, e.g., amplitudes and phases of PWV variations at annual, semiannual, diurnal, and semidiurnal time scales as well as their uncertainties, and then we further analyze the characteristics of annual, semiannual, diurnal, and semidiurnal PWV variations. Here, the trends are not analyzed due to short observation period for 1994 to 2007, which are unlikely to be a good fit to the data given ENSO and other large natural variability.

A. Seasonal Variations of Water Vapor

The annual cycle of water vapor reflects the atmospheric process and circulation patterns. Fig. 8 shows the amplitude (in units of millimeters) of annual PWV variations from global IGS observations. The higher amplitudes are found in midlatitudes with about 10–20 ± 0.5 mm, and the lower amplitudes with about 5 ± 0.5 mm are located in high latitudes and equatorial areas (Fig. 9). The peak of maximum water-vapor concentration is in summer, i.e., July–August for the Northern Hemisphere and January–February for the Southern Hemisphere (Fig. 10). In contrast, the minimum water-vapor content is in winter. The strong seasonal cycles in summer with a maximum water vapor are due to the influence of a moist summer monsoon and minimum water vapors in winter are due to a cold temperature. The mean PWV differences between June–July–August (JJA) and December–January–February (DJF) are shown in Fig. 11.
Fig. 8. Amplitude (in millimeters) of annual PWV variations from global IGS observations.

Fig. 9. Distribution of annual PWV variation amplitude with the latitude.

Fig. 10. Distributions of annual peak PWV phases with the latitude.

Fig. 11. Distribution of PWV differences between JJA and DJF with the latitude.

Fig. 12. Amplitude (in millimeters) of semiannual PWV variations from global IGS observations.

B. Diurnal Variation of Water Vapor

The significant diurnal variations of the water vapors are also found over all GPS stations. Fig. 13 shows amplitudes of diurnal (24 h) PWV variations ($S_1$) (a) (upper panel); and phase of diurnal PWV variations (b) (bottom panel). The amplitudes of diurnal PWV variations are ranging from 0.2 to 1.2 ± 0.1 mm. The diurnal PWV cycles are stronger in summer than in winter. The diurnal PWV cycles are closely related to the temperature (Fig. 14). The peak times of diurnal PWV variations are from the noon to midnight. The semidiurnal (12 h) PWV variations ($S_2$) are much weaker than the diurnal variations with amplitudes of less than 0.3 mm in difference seasons. The phase of the $S_2$ is noisier than that of the $S_1$. In general, the $S_2$ peaks occur in early morning and afternoon (for the second cycle), or around midnight and noon. The diurnal PWV cycles are mainly controlled by atmospheric large-scale vertical motion, atmospheric low-level moisture convergence and precipitation, surface evapotranspiration, and other factors [23].

IV. CONCLUSION

The 13-year PWV time series with 2-h resolution from global raw IGS observation data for 1994–2007 and global three-hourly surface weather observations and six-hourly NCEP/NCAR reanalysis products are the first used to investigate the water-vapor climatology and variability. It has been shown that the stronger water vapors are located in low latitudes, while...
high latitudes have lower water-vapor contents. The distinct season cycles are found over all IGS stations. The marked seasonal cycles are found over all IGS stations. The marked seasons are in summer with a maximum water vapor and winter with a minimum water vapor. The higher amplitudes are located in midlatitudes with about 10–20 mm, and the lower amplitudes are located in high latitudes and equatorial areas with about 5 ± 0.5 mm. The semiannual variation amplitudes are relatively weak with about 0.5 mm. The peak time of semiannual PWV variations are about in February–April and August–October. In addition, significant diurnal variations of PWV are found over most IGS stations. The diurnal (24 h) cycle has amplitude of 0.2–1.2 ± 0.1 mm, which is closely related to the temperature, and the peak time is about from the noon to midnight. The semidiurnal (12 h) cycle is weaker, with amplitude of less than 0.3 mm.

With the development and increase of existing IGS stations and regional permanent GPS network, such as national Crustal Movement Observation Network of China with about 30 continuous GPS sites, GPS Earth Observation Network of Japan with about 1000 continuous GPS sites, and Korean GPS Network with about 80 continuous GPS sites, etc., it will provide denser GPS observations. Meanwhile, recent GPS radio occultation missions (e.g., CHAMP/GRACE, Formosat-3/COSMIC, and TerraSAR-X) also provide high spatial global water-vapor estimations. In the next phase, we will produce denser and near-real time water-vapor data set with all available continuous GPS and radio occultation observations as well as other satellite observations, which will soon be archived in the global IGS data center (ftp://nfs.kasi.re.kr).

**Fig. 13.** Amplitude (in millimeters) of (a) diurnal PWV variations and phase (in hour) of (b) diurnal PWV variations.

**Fig. 14.** Distribution of diurnal PWV variation amplitudes with the mean temperature.
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